

## ***Appendix L***   *Location Hydraulic Study Form*

---

# LOCATION HYDRAULIC STUDY FORM

Dist. San Diego Co. San Diego Rte. 101 K.P. 200

EA 000000

Bridge No. 20-009 R/L

Floodplain Description:

Channel back across it into Rte 101  
at the intersection of Rte 101

1. Description of Proposal (include any physical barriers i.e. concrete barriers, soundwalls, etc. and design elements to minimize floodplain impacts)

Channel back across it into Rte 101  
at the intersection of Rte 101

2. ADT: Current 99,000 Projected 158,000

3. Hydraulic Data: Base Flood  $Q_{100}$  100  $m^3/s$   
WSE 100 The flood of record, if greater than  $Q_{100}$ :

$Q$  100  $m^3/s$  WSE 100

Overtopping flood  $Q$  100  $m^3/s$  WSE 100

Are NFIP maps and studies available? YES X NO       

4. Is the highway location alternative within a regulatory floodway?  
YES        NO       

5. Attach map with flood limits outlined showing all buildings or other improvements within the base floodplain.

Potential  $Q_{100}$  backwater damages:

A. Residences? NO        YES         
B. Other Bldgs? NO        YES         
C. Crops? NO        YES         
D. Natural and beneficial

FLOODPLAIN VALUES? NO        YES       

6. Type of Traffic:

A. Emergency supply or evacuation route? NO        YES X *Information not available*  
B. Emergency vehicle access? NO        YES X  
C. Practicable detour available? NO        YES         
D. School bus or mail route? NO        YES X

7. Estimated duration of traffic interruption for 100-year event hours: 0

8. Estimated value of  $Q_{100}$  flood damages (if any) — moderate risk level.

A. Roadway \$ \_\_\_\_\_ *Information not available*  
B. Property \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
Total \$ \_\_\_\_\_

9. Assessment of Level of Risk Low X  
Moderate \_\_\_\_\_  
High \_\_\_\_\_

For High Risk projects, during design phase, additional Design Study Risk Analysis  
May be necessary to determine design alternative.

Signature -- Dist. Hydraulic Engineer *[Signature]* Date 8/25/2007  
(Item numbers 3,4,5,7,9)

Is there any longitudinal encroachment, significant encroachment, or any support of  
incompatible  
Floodplain development? NO X YES \_\_\_\_\_

If yes, provide evaluation and discussion of practicability of alternatives in accordance  
with 23 CFR 650.113

Information developed to comply with the Federal requirement for the Location  
Hydraulic Study shall be retained in the project files.

Signature -- Dist. Project Engineer *[Signature]* Date 8/30/07  
(Item numbers 1,2,6,8)

# LOCATION HYDRAULIC STUDY FORM

Dist. 13 Co. 404 Rte. 101 K.P. 17.5  
 EA \_\_\_\_\_ Bridge No. 20-1001 R/L

Floodplain Description:  
Water Bays floodplain extends northward  
to the highway.

1. Description of Proposal (include any physical barriers i.e. concrete barriers, soundwalls, etc. and design elements to minimize floodplain impacts)

Proposed work has no impact on 100-yr  
floodplain.

2. ADT: Current 103,000 Projected 170,000

3. Hydraulic Data: Base Flood  $Q_{100} = \underline{77.7} \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$   
 WSE<sub>100</sub> = 7.75 The flood of record, if greater than  $Q_{100}$ :

$Q = \underline{8.75} \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  WSE = \_\_\_\_\_  
 Overtopping flood  $Q = \underline{1.16} \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  WSE = \_\_\_\_\_  
 Are NFIP maps and studies available? YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_

4. Is the highway location alternative within a regulatory floodway?  
 YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO X

5. Attach map with flood limits outlined showing all buildings or other improvements within the base floodplain.

Potential  $Q_{100}$  backwater damages:

A. Residences? NO X YES \_\_\_\_\_  
 B. Other Bldgs? NO X YES \_\_\_\_\_  
 C. Crops? NO X YES \_\_\_\_\_  
 D. Natural and beneficial \_\_\_\_\_

FLOODPLAIN VALUES? NO X YES \_\_\_\_\_

6. Type of Traffic:

A. Emergency supply or evacuation route? NO \_\_\_\_\_ YES X *Information not available*  
 B. Emergency vehicle access? NO \_\_\_\_\_ YES X  
 C. Practicable detour available? NO \_\_\_\_\_ YES X  
 D. School bus or mail route? NO \_\_\_\_\_ YES X

7. Estimated duration of traffic interruption for 100-year event hours: C

8. Estimated value of  $Q_{100}$  flood damages (if any) -- moderate risk level.

A. Roadway \$ \_\_\_\_\_ *Information not available.*  
B. Property \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
Total \$ \_\_\_\_\_

9. Assessment of Level of Risk Low ☒  
Moderate \_\_\_\_\_  
High \_\_\_\_\_

For High Risk projects, during design phase, additional Design Study Risk Analysis  
May be necessary to determine design alternative.

Signature – Dist. Hydraulic Engineer *[Signature]* Date *8/26/2007*  
(Item numbers 3,4,5,7,9)

Is there any longitudinal encroachment, significant encroachment, or any support of  
incompatible  
Floodplain development? NO ☒ YES \_\_\_\_\_

If yes, provide evaluation and discussion of practicability of alternatives in accordance  
with 23 CFR 650.113

Information developed to comply with the Federal requirement for the Location  
Hydraulic Study shall be retained in the project files.

Signature – Dist. Project Engineer *[Signature]* Date *8/30/07*  
(Item numbers 1,2,6,8)

# LOCATION HYDRAULIC STUDY FORM

Dist. Calif Co. San Rte. 01 K.P. 22.94  
 EA 212-1-1 Bridge No. 21-0004 R/L

## Floodplain Description:

Location: Del Norte Rte. 01 crossing at State Route 101  
San Jose, California

1. Description of Proposal (include any physical barriers i.e. concrete barriers, soundwalls, etc. and design elements to minimize floodplain impacts)

at location of highway. Extension of bridge  
to include the floodplain.

2. ADT: Current 49,000 Projected 150,000

3. Hydraulic Data: Base Flood  $Q_{100} =$  42,57  $m^3/s$   
 $WSE_{100} =$  20.7 The flood of record, if greater than  $Q_{100}$ :

$Q =$  42,57  $m^3/s$   $WSE =$  \_\_\_\_\_

Overtopping flood  $Q =$  42,57  $m^3/s$   $WSE =$  \_\_\_\_\_

Are NFIP maps and studies available? YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_

4. Is the highway location alternative within a regulatory floodway?

YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO X

5. Attach map with flood limits outlined showing all buildings or other improvements within the base floodplain.

Potential  $Q_{100}$  backwater damages:

A. Residences? NO X YES \_\_\_\_\_  
 B. Other Bldgs? NO X YES \_\_\_\_\_  
 C. Crops? NO X YES \_\_\_\_\_  
 D. Natural and beneficial \_\_\_\_\_

FLOODPLAIN VALUES? NO X YES \_\_\_\_\_

6. Type of Traffic:

A. Emergency supply or evacuation route? NO \_\_\_\_\_ YES X  
 B. Emergency vehicle access? NO \_\_\_\_\_ YES X  
 C. Practicable detour available? NO \_\_\_\_\_ YES X  
 D. School bus or mail route? NO \_\_\_\_\_ YES X

7. Estimated duration of traffic interruption for 100-year event hours: 0

8. Estimated value of  $Q_{100}$  flood damages (if any) -- moderate risk level.

A.	Roadway	\$	_____	Information not available
B.	Property	\$	_____	" " " "
	Total	\$	_____	" " " "

9. Assessment of Level of Risk Low X  
 Moderate \_\_\_\_\_  
 High \_\_\_\_\_

For High Risk projects, during design phase, additional Design Study Risk Analysis  
 May be necessary to determine design alternative.

Signature – Dist. Hydraulic Engineer [Signature] Date 8/28/2007  
 (Item numbers 3,4,5,7,9)

Is there any longitudinal encroachment, significant encroachment, or any support of  
 incompatible  
 Floodplain development? NO X YES \_\_\_\_\_

If yes, provide evaluation and discussion of practicability of alternatives in accordance  
 with 23 CFR 650.113

Information developed to comply with the Federal requirement for the Location  
 Hydraulic Study shall be retained in the project files.

Signature – Dist. Project Engineer [Signature] Date 8/28/07  
 (Item numbers 1,2,6,8)